## Existing usage and the names of some Australian birds

by Ian A. W. McAllan

Received 28 April 2006

An established convention in zoological nomenclature is that the oldest published scientific name for a taxon has priority. Problems arise when a name in widespread use for a considerable period proves junior to an older name for the same taxon. In the third edition of the *International code of zoological nomenclature* [the 'Code'], Art. 80 required 'existing usage' be maintained until a submission had been made to the International Commission on Zoological Nomenclature (ICZN 1985). 'Existing usage' was defined for cases under consideration by ICZN to be 'the most common current usage', with no further definition of 'common' or 'current' in the glossary. Elsewhere in the same edition, it was noted that stability could be permissibly threatened by introducing an older name, if 'an assertion that the senior name has not been used as a valid name during the immediately preceding fifty years is not contradicted, and ... the junior name has been applied to a particular taxon, as its presumably valid name, by at least 5 different authors and in at least 10 publications during the same period' (Art. 79c).

The fourth edition of the Code (ICZN 1999) came into effect on 1 January 2000 and defines 'existing usage' quite differently. The principle of reversal of precedence (Art. 23) rules that, 'prevailing usage must be maintained when the following conditions are both met: '23.9.1.1. the senior synonym or homonym has not been used as a valid name after 1899 and, '23.9.1.2. the junior synonym or homonym has been used for a particular taxon, as its presumed valid name, in at least 25 works, published by at least 10 authors in the immediately preceding 50 years and encompassing a span of not less than 10 years.' As a result, it is now harder to overturn a senior synonym. McAllan (2006) noted that the subspecies of Lesser Shrikebill from Kadavu (Fiji) had to revert to the senior name Clytorhynchus vitiensis brunneus (Ramsay, 1875) rather than C. v. compressirostris (Layard, 1876). In this case both conditions of Art. 23 were not met. Even though the older name had not been used since its original publication, the junior name had been used fewer than the requisite 25 times since 1955. In contrast, Scofield et al. (2005) demonstrated that in the case of New Zealand Bellbird, the genus-group name Anthomiza Swainson, 1837, though senior to Anthornis G. R. Gray, 1840, cannot be applied under the current criteria of the Code. In this instance, the junior name has been used many times since 1955. However, if the older name had been used only once as a valid name since 1899, it would have been available.

Thus, it is obvious that the status of older, seemingly unused senior synonyms becomes uncertain, if they were not resolved by a ruling of the ICZN before the fourth edition came into force. In some avian nomenclatural publications of the

1990s it became the vogue to invoke Art. 80 of the third edition to maintain 'existing usage'. Yet in each case, no submission was made to the ICZN to suppress the older synonyms. The question remains as to their validity.

Schodde & Mason (1997) listed several taxa for which they proposed that the older name should be suppressed by the ICZN (all covered here). Upon examination, some of these older names have not been used as valid names since their publication, or at least not since 1899. These include:

- the genus-group name for Topknot Pigeon, *Lophorynchus* Swainson, 1837, senior to *Lopholaimus* Gould, 1841 [not a homonym of *Lophorhynchus* Vieillot, 1816];
- the genus-group name for the Galah, *Cackatto* Lauder & Brown, 1833, senior to *Eolophus* Bonaparte, 1854 [not suppressed in the case of conservation of the related genus *Cacatua*, case 1647 of the ICZN, see Bock & Schodde (1998)];
- the species-group name for the south-east Australian population of Rainbow Lorikeet *Psittacus multicolor* Gmelin, 1788, senior to *Psittacus moluccanus* (Gmelin, 1788); the first revisor was Wagler (1832) who chose *P. multicolor* as the name for the taxon;
- the species-group name for Oriental Cuckoo, Cuculus striatus Drapiez, 1823, C. tenuirostris Boie, 1828, C. barbatus Boie, 1828, and C. assimilis Brehm, 1843 (June); all possibly senior to C. saturatus Hodgson, 1843, and definitely senior to C. optatus Gould, 1845, if the species is split as advocated by Payne (2005);
- the species-group name for Horsfield's Bronze-cuckoo. *Sylvia versicolora* 'Latham, 1802' [sic, = 1801], senior to *Cuculus basalis* Horsfield, 1821.

In each case the junior name has been in regular use in the last 50 years and in these instances the principle of reverse of precedence (ICZN 1999: 23.9.1.1 and 23.9.1.2) can and should be applied, with the commonly used junior synonym maintained as the valid name. Nonetheless, the other, apparently unused, senior synonyms referred to by Schodde & Mason (1997) are not so easily dismissed.

Psittacus hypopolius J. R. Forster, 1794, senior to both Platycercus cooki G. R. Gray, 1859 (the Norfolk Island population of Red-crowned Parakeet), and Plyctolophus productus Gould, 1836 (Gould 1836a; Norfolk Island Kaka). These are the two parrots originally found on Norfolk Island and both junior species-group names are regularly used. Forster's original description notes that the bird was 'einen grossen grünen Papagay' and undoubtedly refers to Red-crowned Parakeet, not the extinct Norfolk Island Kaka. The parakeet is almost all green, whilst the Kaka was a largely brown bird with red rump, belly and undertail-coverts, yellow to orange cheeks, and variable yellow chest (Gould 1865, Calaby 1989, Forshaw & Cooper 2002). This suggests that Psittacus hypopolius J. R. Forster, 1794, is the valid name for the Norfolk Island Red-crowned Parakeet. However, the only uses of

*P. hypopolius* in the 20th century were by Iredale (1937) and Mathews (1946), both of whom applied the name incorrectly to the Kaka, meaning that *P. hypopolius* has not been used in a valid sense since 1899. The principle of reverse of precedence (ICZN 1999: 23.9.1.1, 23.9.1.2) should be applied in this case. *Psittacus hypopolius* J. R. Forster 1794, must be suppressed and the commonly used junior synonym *Platycercus cooki* G. R. Gray, 1859, maintained as the valid name for this population of Red-crowned Parakeet, and *Plyctolophus productus* Gould, 1836, be maintained as the valid name for the Norfolk Island Kaka (Schodde & Mason 1997).

Columba norfolciensis Latham, 1801, was based on two birds, a 'male' and a 'female', reportedly from Norfolk Island. The 'male' appears to be a Gallicolumba sp. and the 'female' an Emerald Dove Chalcophaps indica (Linnaeus, 1758) (see Hindwood 1965). The identity and thus status of the 'male' is questionable. The name C. norfolciensis certainly suggests it was collected at Norfolk Island. A dove similar to a *Gallicolumba* was painted by John Hunter when he was on the island in 1790 or 1791 (now in the National Library of Australia, Canberra, Hunter Sketchbook painting no. 89: Hindwood 1965, Calaby 1989; and incorrectly cited as being in the 'Sydney' series in Holdaway & Anderson 2001). The painting matches Latham's description. Rich et al. (1983) and Meredith (1985) also identified subfossil bones of a Gallicolumba in deposits from the island. Nonetheless, Schodde & Mason (1997) suggested that, as the description is not conclusively linked with the depicted bird or with the subfossil material, the name C. norfolciensis should be suppressed. Another alternative, not offered by Schodde & Mason, is to select either John Hunter's painting or one of the Gallicolumba bones from Norfolk Island as a neotype. The original status of Emerald Dove on Norfolk Island is also unclear. It was first recorded there by Hull (1910) who stated that locals believed the species was introduced from the Solomons (where Chalcophaps indica only occurs in the Santa Cruz group). Schodde et al. (1983) suggested the species was self-introduced to Norfolk Island, possibly in the 19th century (Holdaway et al. 2001). However, the description of the 'female' by Latham suggests it was present on the island when Europeans arrived in the 18th century. Emerald Dove was also originally thought to have arrived on nearby Lord Howe Island in the late 19th century, but was certainly there when first visited by an ornithologist, in 1853 (McAllan et al. 2004). Whether or not the species was originally present on Norfolk Island awaits confirmation of the age of the subfossil deposits. Although the name Columba norfolciensis Latham, 1801, was based on two different taxa, at this stage it cannot be identified definitely with either candidate species. It may thus be considered a *nomen dubium*; not belonging in the synonymy of any species. This may change with a reappraisal of the subfossil material, and a neotype selected to validate the name.

Columba picata Latham, 1801, vs. Columba melanoleuca Latham, 1801, for Wonga Pigeon. In this instance, both names were published on the same page of the same work. Mathews (1911) chose C. melanoleuca over C. picata on the basis of

line priority. However, Schodde & Mason noted that the first revisor was Strickland (1843), who chose *C. picata*. *C. picata* (as *Leucosarcia picata*) was consistently used as the valid name through the 19th century and into the 20th century. Although Schodde & Mason (1997) suggested that *L. melanoleuca* was used consistently following Mathews' 1911 paper, *L. picata* was regularly used in *Emu* until 1920. As *Columba picata* Latham, 1801, was regularly used as valid in the 20th century, this precludes invoking the principle of reverse of precedence (ICZN 1999: 23.9.1.1, 23.9.1.2). *Columba picata* Latham, 1801, should be reinstated as the senior synonym for Wonga Pigeon, and *Columba melanoleuca* Latham, 1801, placed in its synonymy.

Geopelia tranquilla Gould, 1844, vs. Geopelia placida Gould, 1844, for the Australian population of Peaceful Dove. These names were again published in the same work. Mathews (1908) chose *G. placida* over *G. tranquilla* for the speciesgroup name, but the first revisor was Gould himself, in part XIX of *The birds of Australia* (Gould 1845). Gould chose *G. tranquilla* over *G. placida*, which was consistently followed until Mathews' action. *G. tranquilla* has at various times been applied as a valid subspecies throughout the 20th century until the present (e.g. Goodwin 1970, Frith 1981, Gibbs et al. 2001), and as a species in *Emu* until 1944. *Geopelia tranquilla* Gould, 1844, is the senior synonym for the Australian population of Peaceful Dove and its regular valid use to the present precludes invoking the principle of reverse of precedence (ICZN 1999: 23.9.1.1, 23.9.1.2). It should be reinstated as the senior synonym for this population and *Geopelia placida* Gould, 1844, placed in its synonymy.

Columba argetraea J. R. Forster, 1794, vs. Columba spadicea Latham, 1801, for the extinct Norfolk Island subspecies of New Zealand Pigeon Hemiphaga novaeseelandiae. As noted by Schodde & Mason (1997), C. argetraea J. R. Forster, 1794, is senior to C. spadicea Latham, 1801. Furthermore, they also pointed out that the name was used by Iredale (1937) and Mathews (1946). In fact, it would be difficult to find 25 uses of Hemiphaga novaeseelandiae spadicea since 1955. Columba argetraea J. R. Forster, 1794, has been used since 1899, precluding invoking the principle of reversal of precedence (ICZN 1999: 23.9.1.1, 23.9.1.2) and should be reinstated with Columba spadicea Latham, 1801, as a synonym.

Schodde & Mason (1999) proposed that a further two taxa should be conserved by the act of suppression of apparently unused senior synonyms by the ICZN.

Menura superba Davies, 1802, vs. Menura novaehollandiae Latham, '1802' [=1801], for Superb Lyrebird. Browning & Monroe (1991) determined that the date of Latham's Supplementum Indicis Ornithologici to be 1802, based on notice of the publication of the English-language version being announced at the Royal Society on 1 April 1802, and that some copies have the title page hand-corrected to 1802.

Given this, as Latham's work is not accurately dated further, under the Code its date becomes 31 December 1802. One consequence is that Menura superba Davies, 1802 (published 5 June 1802), would be the senior name. Schodde & Mason (1999) stated that M. novaehollandiae has been used almost universally since 1926, but this is clearly not true. M. superba was regularly used in the first 50 years of the Emu and other recent usage includes Chisholm (1967), Department of the Interior (1968), Lavery (1969), Chisholm (1974), Slater (1974) and Macdonald (1984). If the date of Latham's Latin-language work is taken as 31 December 1802, Menura superba Davies, 1802, would appear available and valid, and the senior synonym for Superb Lyrebird. However, the Code notes that the 'date specified' in the work must be used unless there is contrary evidence (IZCN 1999: 21.1). In the French-language version of this Article the wording is even stronger as it requires 'preuve' [proof], that is, more than just evidence. In this instance there is no concrete proof that the Latinlanguage edition of the work appeared later than 1801. Until there is proof that Latham's work was published later than 5 June 1802, the Superb Lyrebird must remain as Menura novaehollandiae Latham, 1801. Though this argument may seem weak, the same logic is applied to the dating of many works and thus names, e.g., use of the genus-group name Nymphicus Wagler, 1832 in preference to Leptolophus Swainson, '1833', for Cockatiel N. hollandicus (Kerr, 1792) (see Schodde & Mason 1997). Ultimately, the date of Latham's work may require an application to the ICZN.

Zanthomiza Swainson, 1837, vs. Xanthomyza Strickland, 1841, for the genusgroup name of Regent Honeyeater. The author of *Xanthomyza* is Strickland (1841) rather than Reichenbach (1852), as given by Christidis & Boles (1994). Strickland's use of Xanthomyza was to correct transliteration from Greek to Latin, though such changes are invalid under the Code. It was intended that a submission to suppress Zanthomiza was to be sent to the ICZN as long ago as 1960 by the Standing Committee on Ornithological Nomenclature of the International Ornithological Conference (Salomonsen 1960), but as no ruling has been published there is no evidence this occurred. In this case, the name in most common usage in 1960, Zanthomiza, was erroneously proposed for suppression. Zanthomiza has been used in the last 50 years, as shown by Christidis & Boles (1994). Therefore Zanthomiza Swainson, 1837, is valid and available, and a senior synonym of Xanthomyza Strickland, 1841. Zanthomiza cannot be suppressed under the principle of reversal of precedence (ICZN 1999: 23.9.1.1, 23.9.1.2) and should be reinstated as the genus-group name. This result may not be an issue in current nomenclature. Driskell & Christidis (2004) reviewed DNA sequence data for the honeyeaters (Meliphagidae) and found that Zanthomiza is best placed amongst Australian wattlebirds Anthochaera Vigors & Horsfield, 1827.

Bruce & McAllan (1990) noted numerous names that first appeared in popular periodicals published in London in the mid 19th century and antedate their publication in scientific serials. Amongst these were several contentious names

where the spelling differed between the accounts or had different application to the current usage. Again, two of these names from Australia have not been treated as valid in the original spelling since 1899, and should be suppressed under the principle of reversal of precedence (ICZN 1999: 23.9.1.1, 23.9.1.2).

- Pedionomus Gould, 1840 (31 October) [referring to Mallee Fowl], senior to Pedionomus Gould, 1841 (May) [Plains-wanderer]; and
- Atricha Gould, 1844 (27 January), senior to Atrichia Gould, 1844 (1 March).

Alternatively these names could be considered incorrect subsequent spellings (ICZN 1999: Art. 33). In both cases Gould did not emend the names as he did not refer to the original publication of the names.

One additional name cited by Bruce & McAllan does not fit this category.

Aplornis Gould, 1836 (Gould 1836b), vs. Aplonis Gould, 1836 (Gould 1836c), the genus-group name for Pacific starlings. As noted by Mathews (1938), Aplornis is senior to Aplonis by over two weeks. Gould did not refer to the Aplornis spelling in subsequent works and thus did not emend the name. Aplornis has been used in the 20th century including by Taka-Tsukasa & Yamashina (1931), Mathews (1938, 1945, 1946), Hachisuka et al. (1942) and Bruce & McAllan (1990). David & Gosselin (2002) also quoted Bruce & McAllan (1990) for the name's origin and derivation  $\alpha\pi\lambda$ 000 aploos: simple; ορνισ ornis: bird], but used the incorrect spelling Aplonis. Aplornis is available and valid under the Code and is the senior synonym of Aplonis Gould, 1836. As it has been used since 1899, Aplornis Gould, 1836, cannot be suppressed under the principle of reversal of precedence (ICZN 1999: 23.9.1.1, 23.9.1.2) and should be reinstated as the genus-group name for the Pacific starlings, with Aplonis Gould, 1836, placed in synonymy.

In addition to the names quoted above, there is another example amongst Australian bird names where the first revisor has been incorrectly cited.

Psilopus albogularis Gould, 1838, vs. Psilopus olivaceus Gould, 1838, for White-throated Gerygone. Mathews (1920) believed that the first revisor of these names was Stone (1913). However, it was Gould himself in his octavo volume An introduction to the birds of Australia (Gould 1848), which was published on or before 29 August 1848. (A copy in the State Library of New South Wales (09: S598.2991/19) is inscribed 'William Rees Esq. with the respects of the Author' in ink, and the date 'Augt. 29. 1848' in pencil, presumably the date of receipt.) Herein, Gould lists: 'Gerygone albogularis, Gould .... Vol. II. Pl. 97' and in synonymy immediately below 'Psilopus olivaceus, Gould in Proc. Zool. Soc., Part V. p. 147, Young.' Psilopus albogularis (as Gerygone albogularis) was consistently used in the 19th century as the valid name. In the Emu, Gerygone albogularis was used consistently until 1912 and sporadically to 1924. Thus the principle of reversal of

precedence (ICZN 1999: 23.9.1.1, 23.9.1.2) cannot be invoked and *Gerygone albogularis* (Gould, 1838) is an available and valid name for the White-throated Gerygone and *Psilopus olivaceus* Gould, 1838, should be placed in synonymy.

## **Summary**

Of the 18 older names for Australian taxa discussed here, only six can currently be reinstated: Leucosarcia picata (Latham, 1801); Geopelia tranquilla Gould, 1844; Hemiphaga novaeseelandiae argetraea (Forster, 1794); Zanthomiza Swainson, 1837; Aplornis Gould, 1836; and Gerygone albogularis (Gould, 1838). Of these, it is probable that Zanthomiza will soon be used only as a subgenus. The status of Gallicolumba norfolciensis (Latham, 1801) and Menura superba Davies, 1802, are still to be satisfactorily resolved and may be used following further clarification.

Although this note concerns only Australian genus- and species-group names, such issues are widespread in avian nomenclature. For example, Bock (1994) cited numerous family-group names that, for various reasons, he believed should be suppressed by the ICZN. Apparently a 'provisional submission' was sent to the ICZN by Bock but later withdrawn (Olson 1995). Olson noted many problems with Bock's publication including the erection of numerous new family-group names. Bock also considered many apparently older names to be valid, even though their authors had no intention to describe the group as a family in the work cited. Olson suggested that, given the extent of the problems arising from its publication, Bock's work was a candidate for suppression for the purposes of nomenclature. The problems outlined by Olson have yet to be fully addressed.

Nevertheless, the number of name changes due to priority are minuscule compared to the large-scale changes wrought by alterations due to taxonomic treatment. Olson (1987) noted 276 significant name changes between the American Ornithologists' Union's Check-list of 1957 and that of 1983, with only 6% being due to nomenclatural issues of priority and homonymy. Olson noted that even in a well-known avifauna such as North America its names are inherently unstable and likely to remain so. In an Australian sense this is still also true. The number of name changes between the passerine section of the most recent Australian list (Christidis & Boles 1994) and Schodde & Mason's passerine volume (1999) amounts to 34. Advanced drafts of the new species list (Christidis & Boles in prep.) contain at least 29 changes in the passerines between the two editions, several not covered by Schodde & Mason. As noted by Olson, North American ornithologists have learnt to live with an occasional justified nomenclatural change and no doubt Australian ornithologists will do the same.

## Acknowledgements

Walter Boles, Alan Peterson, Paul Scofield, Trevor Worthy and two anonymous referees commented on drafts of this note. Murray Bruce and Steven Gregory helped provide details of a reference.

References:

Bock, W. J. 1994. History and nomenclature of avian family-group names. *Bull. Amer. Mus. Nat. Hist.* 222: 1–281.

Bock, W. J. & Schodde, R. 1998. Case 1647. *Cacatua* Vieillot, 1817 and CACATUINAE Gray, 1840 (Aves, Psittaciformes): proposed conservation. *Bull. Zool. Nomencl.* 55: 159–164.

Browning, M. R. & Monroe, B. L. 1991. Clarifications and corrections of the dates of issue of some publications containing descriptions of North American birds. *Archiv. Nat. Hist.* 18: 381–405.

Bruce, M. D. & McAllan, I. A. W. 1990. Some problems in vertebrate nomenclature. II. Birds. Part. I. *Boll. Mus. Regionale Sci. Nat. Torino* 8: 453–485.

Calaby, J. (ed.) 1989. The Hunter sketchbook. Birds & flowers of New South Wales drawn on the spot in 1788, 89 and 90. By Captain John Hunter RN of the First Fleet. Natl. Library of Australia, Canberra.

Chisholm, A. H. 1967. Some ornithological oddities. The names of Lyrebirds. Emu 66: 373-374.

Chisholm, A. H. 1974. Concerning Prince Edward's Lyrebird. Australian Bird Watcher 5: 198-199.

Christidis, L. & Boles, W. E. 1994. *The taxonomy and species of birds of Australia and its territories*. RAOU Monogr. 2. Royal Australasian Ornithologists Union, Hawthorn East, Victoria.

David, N. & Gosselin, M. 2002. The grammatical gender of avian genera. *Bull. Brit. Orn. Cl.* 122: 257–282.

Davies, T. 1802. Description of *Menura superba*, a bird of New South Wales. *Trans. Linn. Soc. Lond.* 4: 207–210.

Department of the Interior. 1968. Wildlife in the A.C.T. Department of the Interior, Canberra.

Driskell, A. C. & Christidis, L. 2004. Phylogeny and evolution of the Australo-Papuan honeyeaters (Passeriformes, Meliphagidae). *Mol. Phyl. & Evol.* 31: 943–960.

Forshaw, J. M. & Cooper, W. T. 2002. Australian parrots. Third edn. Alexander Editions, Brisbane.

Forster, J. R. 1794. Lieutenant King's Nachrichtenvon der Norfolk-Inseln. P. 313 in Die neuesten Reisen nach der Botany Bay und Port-Jackson. *Mag. von merkwürdigen neuen Reise Beschreibungen* 3. Berlin.

Frith, H. J. 1981. Pigeons and doves of Australia. Rigby, Adelaide.

Gibbs, D., Barnes, E. & Cox, J. 2001. *Pigeons and doves. A guide to the pigeons and doves of the world.*Pica Press, Robertsbridge.

Goodwin, D. 1970. Pigeons and doves of the world. Second edn. Brit. Mus. (Nat. Hist.), London.

Gould, J. 1836a. [Mr. Gould, at the request of the Chairman, exhibited specimens of numerous *Birds*, forming part of the Society's collection: ...]. *Proc. Zool. Soc. Lond.* [23 February] 1836: 17–19. [Published 24 May 1836.]

Gould, J. 1836b. [Mr. Gould, after making some remarks on various birds which were on the table, entered into a description of a new genus of birds, ...]. *The Analyst* 17: 152. [Published either 1 or 3 October 1836.]

Gould, J. 1836c. [At the request of the Chairman, Mr. Gould exhibited specimens of two new species of *Birds* from the Friendly Islands and New Holland, ...]. *Proc. Zool. Soc. Lond.* [26 July] 1836: 73–74. [Published 18 October 1836.]

Gould, J. 1838. A synopsis of the birds of Australia and the adjacent islands, pt. 4. London. [Dated April 1838. Sir William Jardine did not receive his until 10 August 1838, but parts 3–4 were published before Gould left for Australia, on 16 May 1838, as he had them in Australia. On 8 January 1839, he asked Elizabeth Gould, then in Hobart, to send copies to Capt. Friend of Launceston (letter in Mitchell Library, State Library of New South Wales)].

Gould, J. 1844. [Mr. Gould exhibited a series of birds from Australia, collected partly by himself and partly by Mr. Gilbert ...]. Proc. Zool. Soc. Lond. [26 March] 1844: 55–58. [Published September 1844.]

Gould, J. 1845. The birds of Australia, pt. XIX. London. [Publication scheduled for 1 June 1845.]

Gould, J. 1848. *An introduction to the birds of Australia*. London. [Octavo version published on or before 29 August.]

Gould, J. 1865. Handbook to the birds of Australia, 2 vols. London.

Gray, G. R. 1859. List of specimens of birds in the collections of the British Museum. Brit. Mus., London.

Hachisuka, M., Kiyosu, Count, Kuroda, N., Taka-Tsukasa, N., Uchida, S. & Yamashina, Y. 1942. *A handlist of the Japanese birds*. Third edn. Orn. Soc. Japan, Tokyo.

Hindwood, K. A. 1965. John Hunter: a naturalist and artist of the First Fleet. Emu 65: 83-95.

Holdaway, R. N. & Anderson, A. 2001. Avifauna from the Emily Bay Settlement Site, Norfolk Island: a preliminary account. *Rec. Australian Mus.*, suppl. 27: 85–100.

Holdaway, R. N., Worthy, T. H. & Tennyson, A. J. D. 2001. A working list of breeding bird species of the New Zealand region at first human contact. New Zealand J. Zool. 28: 119–187.

Hull, A. F. B. 1910. The birds of Lord Howe and Norfolk Islands. *Proc. Linn. Soc. New South Wales* 34: 636–693.

International Commission on Zoological Nomenclature (ICZN). 1985. *International code of zoological nomenclature*. Third edn. The International Trust for Zoological Nomenclature, c/o The Natural History Museum, London.

International Commission on Zoological Nomenclature (ICZN). 1999. *International code of zoological nomenclature*. Fourth edn. The International Trust for Zoological Nomenclature, c/o The Natural History Museum, London.

Iredale, T. 1937. J. R. & G. Forster, naturalists. Emu 37: 95-99.

Latham, J. 1802. Supplementum Indicis Ornithologici, sive Systematis Ornithologicae. G. Leigh, J. & S. Sotheby, London.

Lavery, H. J. 1969. List of birds in Queensland. Winston Churchill Memorial Trust, Canberra.

McAllan, I. A. W. 2006. Fijian birds described in newspapers. Notornis 53: 254-257.

McAllan, I. A. W., Curtis, B. R., Hutton, I. & Cooper, R. M. 2004. The birds of the Lord Howe Island Group: a review of records. *Australian Field Orn.* 21 (suppl.): 1–82.

Macdonald, J. D. 1984. *Birds of Australia, a summary of information*. Second (revised) edn. A. H. & A. W. Reed, Sydney.

Mathews, G. M. 1908. Handlist of the birds of Australia. Emu 7 (suppl.): 1–108.

Mathews, G. M. 1911. Alterations in the nomenclature of "Handlist of the Birds of Australia". *Emu* 10: 317–326.

Mathews, G. M. 1920. The birds of Australia, vol. 8. H. F. & G. Witherby, London.

Mathews, G. M. 1938. Aplornis versus Aplonis. Ibis (14)2: 342.

Mathews, G. M. 1945. Notes on generic names. Emu 44: 319-320.

Mathews, G. M. 1946. A working list of the Australian birds, including the Australian Quadrant and New Zealand. Shepherd & Newman, Sydney.

Meredith, C. W. 1985. The vertebrate fossil fauna of Norfolk Island, and the phylogeny of the genus *Pterodroma*. Ph.D. thesis. Monash Univ., Clayton, Victoria.

Olson, S. L. 1987. On the extent and source of instability in avian nomenclature, as exemplified by North American birds. *Auk* 104: 538–542.

Olson, S. L. 1995. [Review of Bock, W. J. 1994. *History and nomenclature of avian family-group names.*] *Auk* 112: 539–546.

Payne, R. B. 2005. The cuckoos. Oxford Univ. Press.

Reichenbach, H. G. L. 1852. *Handbuch der speciellen Ornithologie*. Abth. 2. Icones ad Synopsin Avium hucusque rite cognitarum. Continuatio No. IX. Meropinae. The Bee-eaters — Les Guêpiers — Die Bienenfresser: [i - viii] + 45–144. Leipzig & Dresden.

Rich, P., van Tets, G., Orth, K., Meredith, C. & Davidson, P. 1983. Prehistory of the Norfolk Island biota. Pp. 7–29 in Schodde, R., Fullagar, P. & Hermes, N. (eds.) *A review of Norfolk Island birds: past and present.* ANPWS Special Publ. No. 8. Australian National Parks & Wildlife Service, Canberra.

Salomonsen, F. 1960. Report on the Standing Committee on Ornithological Nomenclature. Pp. 30–43 in Bergmann, G., Donner, K. O. & Haartman, L. V. (eds.) Proc. 12th Intern. Orn. Congress. Tilgmannin Kirjapaino, Helsinki.

Schodde, R., Fullagar, P. & Hermes, N. 1983. *A review of Norfolk Island birds: past and present.* ANPWS Special Publication No. 8. Australian National Parks and Wildlife Service, Canberra.

Schodde, R. & Mason, I. J. 1997. Zoological catalogue of Australia, vol. 37.2. CSIRO Publishing, Melbourne. Schodde, R. & Mason, I. J. 1999. The directory of Australian birds. Passerines. CSIRO Publishing, Collingwood, Victoria.

Scoffeld, R. P., McAllan, I. A. W., Palma, R. & Worthy, T. H. 2005. Stabilisation of the genus-group name of the New Zealand Bellbird. Notornis 52: 171–172.

Slater, P. 1974. A field guide to Australian birds, vol. 2. Rigby, Adelaide.

Stone, W. 1913. A list of the species of Australian birds described by John Gould, with the location of the type-specimens. *Austral Avian Rec.* 1: 129–180.

Strickland, H. E. 1841. Commentary on Mr. G. R. Gray's 'Genera of Birds'. 8vo. London, 1840. [Part 1].
Ann. & Mag. Nat. Hist. (1)6: 410–423.

Strickland, H. E. 1843. Remarks on a collection of Australian drawings of birds, the property of the Earl of Derby. *Ann. & Mag. Nat. Hist.* (1)11: 333–338.

Swainson, W. 1832–1833. Zoological illustrations, or original figures and descriptions of new, rare, or interesting animals, selected chiefly from the classes of ornithology, entomology, and conchology, and arranged according to their natural affinities, second series, vol. 3. Baldwin & Craddock, London.

Swainson, W. 1837. *On the natural history and classification of birds*, vol. 2. Longman & Rees, London. Taka-Tsukasa, N. & Yamashina, Y. 1931. [On *Aplornis* from the Pelew Islands]. *Dobutsugaku Zasshi* 43: 458–459 [in Japanese; English title as in *Zool. Rec.* for 1931].

Wagler, J. G. 1832. Monographia Psittacorum. Abhandl. Königlich Bayerischen Akad. Wissenschaften München 1: 463–750.

Address: 46 Yeramba St., Turramurra, NSW 2074, Australia, e-mail: ducula@tpg.com.au

© British Ornithologists' Club 2007

## The type-localities of six of Sir Andrew Smith's Ploceidae specimens

by H. Dieter Oschadleus

Received 2 May 2006

Sir Andrew Smith lived in South Africa from 1821 to 1837, mainly in Grahamstown and Algoa Bay (i.e. Port Elizabeth), Eastern Cape, and Cape Town, Western Cape (Kirby 1965). A British military doctor, he also collected a great many zoological specimens. Smith's descriptions of these appeared in the *Illustrations of the zoology of South Africa*, published in 1838–49 (Waterhouse 1880, reprinted in Smith 1977), and in earlier publications including the *South African Commercial Advertiser*, *South African Quarterly Journal* and an expedition report, many of which were collated by Salvin (1880). Several volumes of unpublished notes exist in the Transvaal Museum, South African Museum and Government Archives in Cape Town (Kirby 1965). Roberts (1936) published notes of the material in the Transvaal Museum.

Smith included 12 weavers in his *Illustrations* (Table 1), of which six are currently recognised type species (Clancey 1980; Table 2), though several type descriptions were published prior to the *Illustrations*. The ambit of the present paper is limited to investigating the type-localities of these six weavers.